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An assessment of cohesion in the 28 member states of the European Union

RETHINK: EUROPE an initiative of
The EU Cohesion Monitor is an index of the 28 member states of the European Union and their readiness for joint action and cooperation.

The monitor uses ten indicators to conceptualize and illustrate the foundations, national trajectories and future potentials of cohesion in Europe.

This updated edition includes the cohesion ranking and trends of the EU28 from 2007 to 2017.

The EU Cohesion Monitor is part of the Rethink: Europe project by the European Council on Foreign Relations and Stiftung Mercator.

To learn more about the project please visit ecf.eu/rethinkeurope and ecf.eu/eucohesionmonitor.

To contact the project team please email berlin.office@ecfr.eu
What is the EU Cohesion Monitor?

The EU Cohesion Monitor is an index of the 28 member states of the European Union and their readiness for joint action and cooperation.

It measures how strong cohesion is, how it is changing, and how much it differs between the member states of the European Union.

The concept’s central assumption is that European cohesion is a precondition for Europe’s capacity to act, and that acting together successfully will in turn strengthen cohesion.

Are cooperation and cohesion different concepts?

Overall, we assume that successful cooperation between individuals as well as societies and countries will strengthen mutual bonds, shared incentives, and common experiences.

Cohesion is broader in that it focuses on the disposition of collective actors to work together.

The EU Cohesion Monitor looks not only at actual cooperation but includes a measurement of the awareness, readiness, and cooperation-mindedness of societies.

What is the difference between individual and structural cohesion?

Individual cohesion describes people’s experiences, attitudes, beliefs, and well-being. Structural cohesion is shaped by connections and practices between countries on the macro-level, including the actions of economic, political, and cultural elites.

The two dimensions often complement each other, but this is not necessarily so. The EU Cohesion Monitor displays both dimensions separately to make this differentiation visible.

What recommendations emerge from the EU Cohesion Monitor?

Cohesion is a central resource needed for European cooperation. Strategies to strengthen cohesion need to address both its individual as well as its structural dimension. They should also address each country’s distinct cohesion profile.

Cohesion is not subject to swift changes. Measures that can boost cohesion should be pursued with stamina for the long term. The variety in cohesion also means that not only policymakers and governments are encouraged to address it. Cohesion is as much a field of engagement for civil-society organisations as well as EU citizens.
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How is the EU Cohesion Monitor compiled?

The EU Cohesion Monitor combines a total of 32 factors to a set of ten cohesion indicators for each of the 28 EU member states. Data was gathered for 2007, 2014, and 2016/17.

The monitor presents the cohesion indicators on a scale of 1 to 10 points for ease of analysis and comparability.

A description of how the data is converted is available at ecrf.eu/eucohesionmonitor.

---

What is the reason for choosing these particular indicators and variables?

Each of the EU Cohesion Monitor’s ten indicators rests on a cohesion assumption, or hypothesis.

Regarding the indicator Funding, for example, we argue that the inflow of resources through EU funds will strengthen awareness of EU benefits, such as through the presence of EU-funded projects to improve local infrastructure. At the same time, being a net contributor to the EU budget will increase that country’s stake in shaping the EU and thus will generally strengthen its engagement with it.

---

What are your data sources? How do you deal with data gaps?

The EU Cohesion Monitor relies on datasets that are publicly available. The most prominent sources include Eurostat and Eurobarometer surveys.

Where there are gaps in the primary data we use proxy data to cover them. For example, if a statistic is not available for 2017, we use the closest data available, say 2016. Similarly, when no data is available from other years at all, we use data from proxy countries, e.g. using Cyprus data for Malta.

The EU Cohesion Monitor was compiled with the goal to minimise these approximations.

---

Do you weigh your data?

We only weigh data where we see a compelling reason. For example, the maximum score in the ‘Security’ indicator is 7 points rather than 10. The scale ends at seven because stronger cooperation and deeper integration in the area of security and defence is part of ongoing policy debates.

The scaling has to give room for such steps and may need to be adjusted in future editions of the EU Cohesion Monitor.
Can I use EU Cohesion Monitor scores for my work? Are the data sets available?

Yes. The data underlying and generated by the EU Cohesion Monitor can be accessed in its entirety and free of charge at ecfr.eu/eucohesionmonitor.

Please cite as follows:
Five Country Groups

Results are not only presented for individual EU member states, but also for five country groups. The illustration shows each group's composition, the overlap between them, and the countries that do not fall into any of the five groups.

The impact of country groups on the politics of the EU and European integration at large has been changing over time and has often depended on the policy issue at stake. Some groups are more formalized or institutionalized than others.

Group data is provided by combining the scores of their respective member countries.
The term “Big Six” refers to France, Germany, Italy, Spain, Poland and the UK. These countries are considered major European powers.

After Brexit, the group will eventually turn into the Big Five. Even without the UK, it will continue to be the EU powerhouse.

**Share of Population**

- Germany: 16%
- France: 13%
- Italy: 12%
- Spain: 9%
- Poland: 7%
- UK: 13%

**Share of GDP**

- Germany: 21%
- UK: 15%
- Spain: 15%
- Poland: 13%
- Italy: 13%
- France: 12%

**Share of Military Spending**

- Germany: 23%
- France: 21%
- Italy: 16%
- Spain: 10%
- Poland: 4%
- UK: 6%

**Employed to Unemployed**

- Big Six: 10:1
- Germany: 21:1
- UK: 18:1
- Poland: 13:1
- France: 9:1
- Italy: 8:1
- Spain: 5:1

Sources: Eurostat (Population 2015), World Bank (GDP 2015), SIPRI Milex Data (Military Spending 2015), Eurostat (Ratio of employed to unemployed 2015).
The term “Founding Six” refers to Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, Luxembourg and the Netherlands. As signatories to the 1957 Rome Treaty they created what has become the European Union.

With the Franco-German partnership at its centre the Founding Six is the oldest group within the EU. It continues to have significant leverage and makes up about half the EU’s population, GDP, and defence spending.
The term “Affluent Seven” refers to the group of seven countries consisting of Austria, the three Nordic EU members of Denmark, Finland, and Sweden, and the Benelux countries. This group has special economic clout among the EU28. Each one of the seven maintains an employment ratio of at least 10 to 1. In addition, the group’s disposable income per capita is significantly above the averages of both the EU and the Big Six.
The term “Southern Seven” refers to the group of seven countries consisting of Cyprus, France, Greece, Italy, Malta, Portugal and Spain. Despite being disparate in size, the countries in this group share socio-economic similarities. In recent years political initiatives have attempted to institutionalize this group. The Southern Seven have been particularly affected by the Eurozone debt crisis and increasing migration to Europe.
The term "Visegrád Four" refers to the group of countries consisting of the Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland and Slovakia. All four joined the European Union together in 2004.

More frequently than other groups the Visegrád Four act as a political coalition within the European Union. Their cooperation is based on common cultural values, history and a similar outlook on economic and security policy.

Sources: Eurostat (Population 2015), World Bank (GDP 2015), SIPRI Milex Data (Military Spending 2015), Eurostat (Ratio of employed to unemployed 2015).
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Individual Cohesion

EXPERIENCE
- Citizens of other EU countries % of population
- Visited another EU country
- Socialised with people from other EU country
- Foreign language skills
- Turnout in EP elections
- Anti-EU/populist share in EP elections
- Anti-EU/populist share in national elections

ENGAGEMENT
- Trust in EU
- Positive image of EU
- National interest well taken into account
- Perception as European

ATTITUDES
- Support for economic and monetary union
- Support for common foreign policy
- Support for common defence and security policy
- Euro among most positive results of EU
- Free movement among most positive results of EU
- Peace in Europe among most positive results of EU

APPROVAL

Structural Cohesion

RESILIENCE
- Disposable income per capita
- Debt to GDP
- Social Justice Index

ECONOMIC TIES
- EU trade to total trade
- EU trade to GDP ratio
- EU investments to total investments
- EU investments to GDP ratio

FUNDING
- Inflow of EU funds as % of GDP
- Balance of payments to EU budget

NEIGHBOURHOOD
- Population (share) living near borders
- Non-EU neighbours

POLICY INTEGRATION
- Number of opt outs

SECURITY
- Joint commands and cooperation
- Joint development and procurement
- Multinational deployments

ecfr.eu/eucohensionmonitor
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RETHINK: EUROPE
Citizens of other EU countries % of population
Visited another EU country
Socialised with people from other EU country
Foreign language skills
Turnout in EP elections
Anti-EU/populist share in EP elections
Anti-EU/populist share in national elections
Trust in EU
Positive image of EU
National interest well taken into account
Perception as European
Support for economic and monetary union
Support for common foreign policy
Support for common defence and security policy
Euro among most positive results of EU
Free movement among most positive results of EU
Peace in Europe among most positive results of EU

Individual Cohesion is strengthened by:

+ Citizens’ first-hand EU experience, proximity of other EU countries, and foreign language skills
+ A high turnout in elections to the European Parliament and low voting preferences for anti-EU/populist parties in the EP and national parliaments
+ Positive views on the benefits of integration and on the EU at large
+ Support for and positive views on areas of deeper integration
A society’s resilience in terms of its affluence and equality fostering EU engagement and solidarity

High connectedness and integration of a country’s economy with other EU economies through trade and investment flows

Inflow of resources through EU funds as well as being a net contributor to the EU’s budget

A high number of regions sharing a border with other EU member states as well as with non-EU countries

No or a low number of opt-outs from the generally accepted state of integration

Deep multinational cooperation in the area of defence and security

**6 Indicators 15 Factors**

**Resilience**
- Disposable income per capita
- Debt to GDP
- Social Justice Index

**Economic Ties**
- EU trade to total trade
- EU trade to GDP ratio
- EU investments to total investments
- EU investments to GDP ratio

**Funding**
- Inflow of EU funds as % of GDP
- Balance of payments to EU budget

**Neighbourhood**
- Population (share) living near borders
- Non-EU neighbours

**Policy Integration**
- Number of opt outs

**Security**
- Joint commands and cooperation
- Joint development and procurement
- Multinational deployments
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**Overall Results**

- **Country Groups**
  - **Big Six**
  - **Founding Six**
  - **Affluent Seven**
  - **Southern Seven**
  - **Visegrád Four**

**Affluent Seven**

- Finland
- Netherlands
- Denmark
- Sweden
- Austria
- Luxembourg

**2007**

- Overall Results

**2017**

- Experience and attitude gap

**Structural Cohesion**

- Attitudes outperform structural ties
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- Strong cohesion

- Fairly weak cohesion
**Overall Results**

Country Groups:
- Big Six
- Founding Six
- Affluent Seven
- Southern Seven
- Visegrád Four

**Structural Cohesion**
- Denmark
- Sweden
- Finland
- Netherlands
- Austria

**Individual Cohesion**
- Luxembourg
- Strong cohesion

Experience and attitude gap

Attitudes outperform structural ties

Fairly weak cohesion

**Affluent Seven**
- 2007
- 2017
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Cyprus
Spain
Malta
Portugal
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Visegrád Four 2007 2017
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Poland
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Slovakia

Czech Rep.
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Affluent Seven
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Fairly weak cohesion
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Strong cohesion
Attitudes outperform structural ties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country Groups</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Big Six</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Founding Six</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affluent Seven</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Seven</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visegrád Four</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Visegrád Four

Overall Results

Experience and attitude gap

Fairly weak cohesion

Strong cohesion

Czech Rep.

Slovakia

Poland

Hungary
Individuelle Kohäsion 2007 2017 Trend

6.50 or more
5.85 to 6.49
5.20 to 5.84
less than 5.20

Luxembourg 7.4
Belgium 7.3
Ireland 6.8
Cyprus 6.7
Malta 6.6
Germany 6.5
Netherlands 6.2
Spain 6.1
Slovenia 6.0
Estonia 5.9
Italy 5.8
Austria 5.6
Denmark 5.5
Finland 5.4
France 5.3
Sweden 5.2
Croatia 5.1
Bulgaria 5.0
Latvia 4.9
Portugal 4.8
Poland 4.7
Romania 4.6
UK 4.5
Hungary 4.4
Czech Rep. 4.3

EUROPEAN COMMISSION
INTEGRATION
AGENCY
ECFR.ES/ECOHEMISSIONMONITOR
Intro Rankings

Individuelle Kohäsion

Strukturrell Kohäsion

Individuelle Kohäsion

Erlebnis
Engagement
Einstellungen
Zustimmung

Strukturrelle Kohäsion

Resilienz
Wirtschaftliche Verbindungen
Förderung
Nahezu Politik Integration
Sicherheit
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Intro Rankings
Structural Cohesion

2007 2017 Trend

5.48 or more
5.11 to 5.47
4.43 to 5.10
less than 4.43

Luxembourg #1
Belgium 2
Netherlands 3
Slovakia 4
Austria 5
Latvia 6
Lithuania 7
Czech Rep. 8
France 9
Germany 10
Slovenia 11
Spain 12
Estonia 13
Portugal 14
Bulgaria 15
Finland 16
Greece 17
Italy 18
Poland 19
Romania 20
Croatia 21
Sweden 22
Denmark 23
Ireland 24
UK 25
Cyprus 26
Malta 27

INDIVIDUAL COHERENCE
Experience
Engagement
Attitudes
Approval

STRUCTURAL COHERENCE
Resilience
Economic Ties
Funding
Neighbourhood
Policy Integration
Security
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Structural Cohesion

2007  2017  Trend

INDIVIDUAL COHESION
- Experience
- Engagement
- Attitudes
- Approval

STRUCTURAL COHESION
- Resilience
- Economic Ties
- Funding
- Neighbourhood
- Policy Integration
- Security

Countries
- Luxembourg  #1
- Slovakia  2
- Hungary  3
- Latvia  4
- Czech Rep.  5
- Lithuania  6
- Belgium  7
- Bulgaria  8
- Estonia  5
- Slovenia  6
- Romania  11
- Poland  12
- Austria  13
- Germany  15
- Finland  16
- Greece  18
- France  19
- Spain  19
- Malta  20
- Portugal  21
- Sweden  22
- Croatia  23
- Denmark  24
- Italy  25
- Ireland  26
- UK  28
- Cyprus  28
Austria 2017 vs. Austria 2007 EU 2017

6.5 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

Countries:
- Austria
- Belgium
- Bulgaria
- Croatia
- Cyprus
- Czech Rep.
- Denmark
- Estonia
- Finland
- France
- Germany
- Greece
- Hungary
- Ireland
- Italy
- Latvia
- Lithuania
- Luxembourg
- Malta
- Netherlands
- Poland
- Portugal
- Romania
- Slovakia
- Slovenia
- Spain
- Sweden
- UK
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Individual C. vs. Structural C.

Indicators:
- Resilience
- Economic Ties
- Funding
- Neighbourhood Policy Integration
- Security
- Experience
- Engagement
- Attitudes
- Approval

Countries:
- Austria
- Belgium
- Bulgaria
- Croatia
- Cyprus
- Czech Rep.
- Denmark
- Estonia
- Finland
- France
- Germany
- Greece
- Hungary
- Ireland
- Italy
- Latvia
- Lithuania
- Luxembourg
- Malta
- Netherlands
- Poland
- Portugal
- Romania
- Slovakia
- Slovenia
- Spain
- Sweden
- UK

6.5 Individual Cohesion
5.4 Structural Cohesion

ecfr.eu/eucohesionmonitor
Intro Design Overall Results Rankings Countries RETHINK: EUROPE
Belgium 2017 vs. Belgium 2007 EU 2017

7.9 INDIVIDUAL COHESION
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Belgium 2017 vs. Belgium 2007 vs. EU 2017

**Individual Cohesion**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Belgium 2017</th>
<th>Belgium 2007</th>
<th>EU 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Rep.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Overall Results Rankings**

- **Individual Cohesion**: 7.9
- **Structural Cohesion**: 5.9
- **Experience**: 0.8
- **Economic Ties**: 0.2
- **Funding**: 0.7
- **Neighbourhood**: 0.4
- **Policy Integration**: 0.0
- **Security**: 0.8
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7.9 INDIVIDUAL COHESION
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Croatia 2017 vs. Croatia 2007 EU 2017

5.7 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

Austria Belgium Bulgaria Croatia Czech Rep. Denmark Estonia Finland France Germany Greece Hungary Ireland Italy Latvia Lithuania Luxembourg Malta Netherlands Poland Portugal Romania Slovakia Slovenia Spain Sweden UK

RESILIENCE
ECONOMIC TIES
FUNDING
NEIGHBOURHOOD
POLICY INTEGRATION
SECURITY
ATTITUDES
ENGAGEMENT
EXPERIENCE

Individual C. vs. Structural C.
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Croatia 2017 vs. Croatia 2007 vs. EU 2017

- Resilience: 2.1
- Economic Ties: 1.6
- Funding: 2.7
- Neighbourhood: 0.0
- Policy Integration: 0.0
- Security: 0.8
- Attitudes: 0.6
- Approval: 0.9
- Engagement: 0.2
- Experience: 0.4
- Individual Cohesion: 5.7
- Structural Cohesion: 4.4

Countries:
- Austria
- Belgium
- Bulgaria
- Croatia
- Cyprus
- Czech Rep.
- Denmark
- Estonia
- Finland
- France
- Germany
- Greece
- Hungary
- Ireland
- Italy
- Latvia
- Lithuania
- Luxembourg
- Malta
- Netherlands
- Poland
- Portugal
- Romania
- Slovakia
- Slovenia
- Spain
- Sweden
- UK
Croatia 2017 vs. Croatia 2007 EU 2017

5.7 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

Austria Belgium Bulgaria Croatia Czech Rep. Denmark Estonia Finland France Germany Greece Hungary Ireland Italy Latvia Lithuania Luxembourg Malta Netherlands Poland Portugal Romania Slovakia Slovenia Spain Sweden UK

RESILIENCE
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FUNDING
NEIGHBOURHOOD
POLICY INTEGRATION
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Individual C.
Structural C.
6.8 INDIVIDUAL COHESION
Cyprus 2017 vs. Cyprus 2007 EU 2017

6.8 INDIVIDUAL COHESION
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EXPERIENCE
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FUNDING
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4.2 Individual Cohesion
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4.2 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

Czech Rep.

Austria
Belgium
Bulgaria
Cyprus
Croatia
Czech Rep.
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Ireland

Individual C.

Structural C.

RESILIENCE

Experience

ECONOMIC TIES

Funding

ENGAGEMENT

Attitudes

APPROVAL

Security

NEIGHBOURHOOD

Policy Integration

STRUCTURAL COHESION 6.4
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4.2 INDIVIDUAL COHESION


EU 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>EU 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Rep.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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6.1 INDIVIDUAL COHESION
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Denmark 2017 vs. Denmark 2007

EU 2017
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6.7 Individual Cohesion

Individual Cohesion: 5.8

Structural Cohesion: 5.8

Countries:
- Austria
- Belgium
- Bulgaria
- Croatia
- Cyprus
- Czech Rep.
- Denmark
- Estonia
- Finland
- France
- Germany
- Greece
- Hungary
- Ireland
- Italy
- Latvia
- Lithuania
- Luxembourg
- Malta
- Netherlands
- Poland
- Portugal
- Romania
- Slovakia
- Slovenia
- Spain
- Sweden
- UK
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6.7 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

Individual C.
Structural C.

Austria
Belgium
Bulgaria
Croatia
Cyprus
Czech Rep.
Denmark
Estonia
Finland
France
Germany
Greece
Hungary
Ireland

Italy
Latvia
Lithuania
Luxembourg
Malta
Netherlands
Poland
Portugal
Romania
Slovakia
Slovenia
Spain
Sweden
UK

RESILIENCE
ECONOMIC TIES
FUNDING
NEIGHBOURHOOD
POLICY INTEGRATION
SECURITY
ATTITUDES
APPROVAL
ENGAGEMENT
EXPERIENCE

Estonia 2017 vs. Estonia 2007 EU 2017
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RETHINK: EUROPE
6.3 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

EU 2017

Finland 2017 vs. Finland 2007

Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Rep., Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, UK

Resilience, Economic Ties, Funding, Neighbourhood, Policy Integration, Security, Experience, Engagement, Attitudes, Approval, Structural Cohesion 4.9
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Individual C.</th>
<th>Structural C.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Rep.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finland 2017 vs. Finland 2007 EU 2017
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France 2017 vs. France 2007 EU 2017

5.3 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

Individual C. vs. Structural C.

Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Rep., Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, UK

RESILIENCE

ECONOMIC TIES

FUNDING

NEIGHBOURHOOD

POLICY INTEGRATION

SECURITY

ATTITUDES

ENGAGEMENT

EXPERIENCE

APPROVAL

STRUCTURAL COHESION 4.8
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5.3 Individual Cohesion

France

- Austria
- Belgium
- Bulgaria
- Croatia
- Cyprus
- Czech Rep.
- Denmark
- Estonia
- Finland
- Greece
- Hungary
- Ireland
- Italy
- Latvia
- Lithuania
- Luxembourg
- Malta
- Netherlands
- Poland
- Portugal
- Romania
- Slovakia
- Slovenia
- Spain
- Sweden
- UK

Resilience
Experience
Economic Ties
Funding
Neighbourhood
Policy Integration
Security
Attitudes
Approval
Individual C.
Structural C.
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### 5.3 Individual Cohesion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>EU 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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7.5 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

Austria
Belgium
Bulgaria
Croatia
Cyprus
Czech Rep.
Denmark
Estonia
Finland
France
Germany
Greece
Hungary
Ireland
Italy
Latvia
Lithuania
Luxembourg
Malta
Netherlands
Poland
Portugal
Romania
Slovakia
Slovenia
Spain
Sweden
UK

Germany 2017 vs. Germany 2007 EU 2017
Germany 2017 vs. Germany 2007
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7.5 INDIVIDUAL COHESION
+0.8

ECOLOGICAL TIES
-0.4

ENGAGEMENT
-0.9

APPROVAL
+2.0

ATTITUDES
+1.2

SECURITY
-0.0

POLICY INTEGRATION
-0.0

FUNDING
+0.3

NEIGHBOURHOOD
-0.0

STRUCTURAL COHESION 5.4

-0.0

 Individual C. Structural C.
Germany 2017 vs. Germany 2007

7.5 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

Structural C. vs. Individual C.

- Austria
- Belgium
- Bulgaria
- Croatia
- Cyprus
- Czech Rep.
- Denmark
- Estonia
- Finland
- France
- Germany
- Greece
- Hungary
- Ireland
- Italy
- Latvia
- Lithuania
- Luxembourg
- Poland
- Portugal
- Romania
- Slovakia
- Slovenia
- Spain
- Sweden
- UK
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4.5 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

Individual C. vs. Structural C.

Greece 2017 vs. Greece 2007 vs. EU 2017

Members of the EU:
- Austria
- Belgium
- Bulgaria
- Croatia
- Cyprus
- Czech Rep.
- Denmark
- Estonia
- Finland
- France
- Germany
- Greece
- Hungary
- Ireland
- Italy
- Latvia
- Lithuania
- Luxembourg
- Poland
- Portugal
- Romania
- Slovakia
- Slovenia
- Spain
- Sweden
- UK
4.5 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

Austria  Italy
Belgium  Latvia
Bulgaria  Lithuania
Croatia  Luxembourg
Cyprus  Malta
Czech Rep.  Netherlands
Denmark  Poland
Estonia  Portugal
Finland  Romania
France  Slovakia
Germany  Spain
Hungary  Sweden
Ireland  UK
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Hungary 2017 vs. Hungary 2007 EU 2017

Austria
Belgium
Bulgaria
Croatia
Cyprus
Czech Rep.
Denmark
Estonia
Finland
France
Germany
Greece
Hungary
Ireland
Italy
Latvia
Lithuania
Luxembourg
Poland
Portugal
Romania
Slovakia
Slovenia
Spain
Sweden
UK

4.0 INDIVIDUAL COHESION
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8.0 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

8.0 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

Austria  Italy
Belgium  Latvia
Bulgaria  Lithuania
Croatia  Luxembourg
Cyprus  Malta
Czech Rep.  Netherlands
Denmark  Poland
Estonia  Portugal
Finland  Romania
France  Slovakia
Germany  Slovenia
Greece  Spain
Hungary  Sweden
Ireland  UK

Ireland 2017 vs.  Ireland 2007  EU 2017

ECONOMIC TIES
FUNDING
NEIGHBOURHOOD
POLICY INTEGRATION
SECURITY
ATTITUDES
ENGAGEMENT
EXPERIENCE
RESILIENCE

Individual C.
Structural C.
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8.0 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

Ireland 2017 vs. Ireland 2007 vs. EU 2017

Countries:
- Austria
- Belgium
- Bulgaria
- Croatia
- Cyprus
- Czech Rep.
- Denmark
- Estonia
- Finland
- France
- Germany
- Greece
- Hungary
- Ireland
- Italy
- Latvia
- Lithuania
- Luxembourg
- Malta
- Netherlands
- Poland
- Portugal
- Romania
- Slovakia
- Slovenia
- Spain
- Sweden
- UK
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5.1 INDIVIDUAL COHESION
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5.1 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

Individual C. vs. Structural C.

- Austria
- Belgium
- Bulgaria
- Croatia
- Cyprus
- Czech Rep.
- Denmark
- Estonia
- Finland
- France
- Germany
- Greece
- Hungary
- Ireland
- Latvia
- Lithuania
- Luxembourg
- Malta
- Netherlands
- Poland
- Portugal
- Romania
- Slovakia
- Slovenia
- Spain
- Sweden
- UK

Italy 2017 vs. Italy 2007 vs. EU 2017

- RESILIENCE: 1.6
- ECONOMIC TIES: 2.4
- FUNDING: 0.3
- NEIGHBOURHOOD: 0.0
- POLICY INTEGRATION: 0.0
- SECURITY: 0.6
- ATTITUDES: 0.7
- APPROVAL: 0.3
- ENGAGEMENT: 3.7
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5.1 INDIVIDUAL COHESION
5.8 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

Latvia 2017 vs. Latvia 2007 EU 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Latvia</th>
<th>Italy</th>
<th>Latvia</th>
<th>Latvia</th>
<th>Latvia</th>
<th>Latvia</th>
<th>Latvia</th>
<th>Latvia</th>
<th>Latvia</th>
<th>Latvia</th>
<th>Latvia</th>
<th>Latvia</th>
<th>Latvia</th>
<th>Latvia</th>
<th>Latvia</th>
<th>Latvia</th>
<th>Latvia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td></td>
<td>differently</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Rep.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Rep.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td>differently</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ecfr.eu/eucohesionmonitor Intro Design Overall Results Rankings Countries
Latvia 2017 vs. Latvia 2007 vs. EU 2017

Austria   Belgium   Bulgaria   Croatia   Cyprus   Czech Rep.   Denmark   Estonia   Finland   France   Germany   Greece   Hungary   Ireland

Individual Cohesion: 5.8
Structural Cohesion: 6.5

ECFR.eu/eucohesionmonitor
Intro  Design  Overall Results  Rankings  Countries
Lithuania 2017 vs. Lithuania 2007  EU 2017

6.7 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

Individual C.  

Structural C.

Austria  
Belgium  
Bulgaria  
Croatia  
Cyprus  
Czech Rep.  
Denmark  
Estonia  
Finland  
France  
Germany  
Greece  
Hungary  
Ireland  

Italy  
Latvia  
Lithuania  
Luxembourg  
Malta  
Netherlands  
Poland  
Portugal  
Romania  
Slovakia  
Slovenia  
Spain  
Sweden  
UK  

ecfr.eu/eucohesionmonitor

Intro   Design   Overall Results   Rankings   Countries

RETHINK: EUROPE
**6.7 INDIVIDUAL COHESION**

Lithuania 2017 vs. Lithuania 2007 vs. EU 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Individual Cohesion</th>
<th>Structural Cohesion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Rep.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EU 2017**

- Resilience
- Economic Ties
- Funding
- Neighbourhood
- Policy Integration
- Security
- Engagement
- Experience
- Attitudes
- Approval

**6.7 INDIVIDUAL COHESION**

**ECFR.eu/eucohesionmonitor**

**Intro**  **Design**  **Overall Results**  **Rankings**  **Countries**

**RETHINK: EUROPE**
Luxembourg 2017 vs. Luxembourg 2007 EU 2017

9.1 Individual Cohesion

Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Rep., Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia,Spain, Sweden, UK

Luxembourg

ECFR.eu/eucohesionmonitor
Intro Design Overall Results Rankings Countries

RETHINK: EUROPE
9.1 INDIVIDUAL COHESION
7.7 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

Individual C.

Structural C.

Austria
Belgium
Bulgaria
Croatia
Cyprus
Czech Rep.
Denmark
Estonia
Finland
France
Germany
Greece
Hungary
Ireland
Malta
Italy
Latvia
Lithuania
Luxembourg
Netherlands
Poland
Portugal
Romania
Slovakia
Slovenia
Spain
Sweden
UK

Malta 2017 vs. Malta 2007 EU 2017

ecfr.eu/eucohensionmonitor
Intro Design Overall Results Rankings Countries
RETHINK: EUROPE
Netherlands 2017 vs. Netherlands 2007 EU 2017

Individual C. vs. Structural C.

Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Rep., Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, UK

6.9 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

+ 0.4

EUROPEAN COMMISSION
Netherlands 2017 vs. Netherlands 2007

6.9 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

RESILIENCE
ECOMATIC TIES
FUNDING
NEIGHBOURHOOD
POLICY INTEGRATION
SECURITY
ATTITUDES
ENGAGEMENT
EXPERIENCE

Individual C.

Structural C.

Austria
Belgium
Bulgaria
Croatia
Cyprus
Czech Rep.
Denmark
Estonia
Finland
France
Germany
Greece
Hungary
Ireland
UK

Netherlands

Italy
Latvia
Lithuania
Luxembourg
Malta
Poland
Portugal
Romania
Slovakia
Slovenia
Spain
Sweden

EU 2017

Design
Overall Results
Rankings
Countries
ecfr.eu/eucohessionmonitor
Intro

RETHINK: EUROPE
Poland 2017 vs. Poland 2007 vs. EU 2017

- Resilience
- Economic Ties
- Funding
- Neighbourhood
- Policy Integration
- Security
- Engagement
- Experience
- Attitudes
- Approval
- Structural Cohesion 5.6

Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Rep., Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, UK

Poland 2017 vs. Poland 2007 vs. EU 2017

4.6 Individual Cohesion

ecfr.eu/eucohesionmonitor

Intro  Design  Overall Results  Rankings  Countries

RETHINK: EUROPE
Poland 2017 vs. Poland 2007 EU 2017

4.6 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

Structural C. vs. Individual C.

Austria  Belgium  Bulgaria  Croatia  Cyprus  Czech Rep.  Denmark  Estonia  Finland  France  Germany  Greece  Hungary  Ireland  Italy  Latvia  Lithuania  Luxembourg  Malta  Netherlands  Poland  Portugal  Romania  Slovakia  Slovenia  Spain  Sweden  UK

Poland 2017 vs. Poland 2007 EU 2017

4.6 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

ATTITUDES

APPROVAL

ENGAGEMENT

EXPERIENCE

RESILIENCE

ECONOMIC TIES

FUNDING

NEIGHBOURHOOD

POLICY INTEGRATION

STRUCTURAL COHESION 5.6
5.7 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

Portugal 2017 vs. Portugal 2007 EU 2017

Individual C. vs. Structural C.

Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Rep., Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, UK

RESILIENCE
ECONOMIC TIES
FUNDING
NEIGHBOURHOOD
POLICY INTEGRATION
SECURITY
ATTITUDES
ENGAGEMENT
APPROVAL

PORTUGAL 2017

PORTUGAL 2007

EU 2017

Design Overall Results Rankings Countries
ecfr.eu/eucohesionmonitor
Intro Design Overall Results Rankings Countries
RETHINK: EUROPE
Portugal 2017 vs. Portugal 2007 vs. EU 2017

Individual Cohesion

Structural Cohesion

5.7 Individual Cohesion

0.6

ECO2NIC TIES

2.5

ECONOMIC TIES

0.1

FUNDING

1.1

NEIGHBOURHOOD

0.4

POLICY INTEGRATION

0.0

SECURITY

0.7

APPROVAL

1.7

ATTITUDES

0.6

ENGAGEMENT

0.8

EXPERIENCE

0.9

RESILIENCE

2.5

Portugal

Austria
Belgium
Bulgaria
Croatia
Cyprus
Czech Rep.
Denmark
Estonia
Finland
France
Germany
Greece
Hungary
Ireland
Italy
Latvia
Lithuania
Luxembourg
Malta
Netherlands
Poland
Romania
Slovakia
Slovenia
Spain
Sweden
UK

PORTUGAL 2017 vs. PORTUGAL 2007 vs. EU 2017

Portugal 2017

Portugal 2007

EU 2017

2017

vs.

2007

2017

= 5.7

ecfr.eu/eucohescionmonitor

Intro
Design
Overall Results
Rankings
Countries

RETHINK: EUROPE
5.7 Individual Cohesion

Portugal 2017 vs. Portugal 2007

EU 2017

Resilience

Economic Ties

Funding

Neighbourhood

Policy Integration

Security

Experience

Engagement

Attitudes

Approval

Individual Cohesion

Structural Cohesion 4.5

Austria
Belgium
Bulgaria
Croatia
Cyprus
Czech Rep.
Denmark
Estonia
Finland
France
Germany
Greece
Hungary
Ireland
Italy
Latvia
Lithuania
Luxembourg
Malta
Netherlands
Poland
Portugal
Romania
Slovakia
Slovenia
Spain
Sweden
UK

Portugal 2017 vs. Portugal 2007

EU 2017

5.7 Individual Cohesion

Portugal

ecfr.eu/eucohesionmonitor

Intro
Design
Overall Results
Rankings
Countries

RETHINK: EUROPE
Romania 2017 vs. Romania 2007 EU 2017

5.4 Individual Cohesion

Attitudes

Experience

Engagement

Security

Policy integration

Economic ties

Funding

Neighbourhood

Resilience

Individual C.

Structural C.

Austria  Italy
Belgium  Latvia
Bulgaria  Lithuania
Croatia  Luxembourg
Cyprus  Malta
Czech Rep.  Netherlands
Denmark  Poland
Estonia  Portugal
Finland  Romania
France  Slovakia
Germany  Slovenia
Greece  Spain
Hungary  Sweden
Ireland  UK

Romania 2017 vs. Romania 2007 EU 2017
Romania 2017 vs. Romania 2007 EU 2017

5.4 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Rep.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ecfr.eu/eucohesionmonitor Intro Design Overall Results Rankings Countries RETHINK: EUROPE
Slovakia 2017 vs. Slovakia 2007 EU 2017

5.9 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

Austria 6.8
Belgium 6.8
Bulgaria 6.8
Croatia 6.8
Cyprus 6.8
Czech Rep. 6.8
Denmark 6.8
Estonia 6.8
Finland 6.8
France 6.8
Germany 6.8
Greece 6.8
Hungary 6.8
Ireland 6.8

Italy 5.9
Latvia 5.9
Lithuania 5.9
Luxembourg 5.9
Malta 5.9
Netherlands 5.9
Poland 5.9
Portugal 5.9
Romania 5.9
Slovakia 5.9
Slovenia 5.9
Spain 5.9
Sweden 5.9
UK 5.9

ecfr.eu/eucohesionmonitor
5.9 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Rep., Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, UK
Slovenia 2017 vs. Slovenia 2007 EU 2017

6.3 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

Europe 2017

Slovenia 2017 vs. Slovenia 2007 EU 2017

- Individual Cohesion: 6.3
- Structural Cohesion: 5.8

Countries:
- Austria
- Belgium
- Bulgaria
- Croatia
- Cyprus
- Czech Rep.
- Denmark
- Estonia
- Finland
- France
- Germany
- Greece
- Hungary
- Ireland
- Italy
- Latvia
- Lithuania
- Luxembourg
- Malta
- Netherlands
- Poland
- Portugal
- Romania
- Slovakia
- Slovenia
- Spain
- Sweden
- UK

ecfr.eu/eucohensionmonitor

Intro  Design  Overall Results  Rankings  Countries  RETHINK: EUROPE
6.2 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

Spain 2017 vs. Spain 2007 EU 2017

Individual C.

Structural C.

Austria  Belgium  Bulgaria  Croatia  Cyprus  Czech Rep.  Denmark  Estonia  Finland  France  Germany  Greece  Hungary  Ireland  Italy  Latvia  Lithuania  Luxembourg  Malta  Netherlands  Poland  Portugal  Romania  Slovakia  Slovenia  Spain  Sweden  UK

RESILIENCE

ECONOMIC TIES

FUNDING

NEIGHBOURHOOD

POLICY INTEGRATION

ATTITUDES

ENGAGEMENT

APPROVAL

SECURITY

Individual Cohesion vs. Structural Cohesion

Spain 2017

Spain 2007

EU 2017

Design

Overall Results

Rankings

Countries

ecfr.eu/eucohesionmonitor

Intro
Spain 2017 vs. Spain 2007 vs. EU 2017

6.2 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Rep.</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ecfr.eu/eucohesionmonitor

Ref: RETHINK: EUROPE
6.2 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

Spain 2017 vs. Spain 2007

Individual Cohesion vs. Structural Cohesion

Countries:
- Austria
- Belgium
- Bulgaria
- Croatia
- Cyprus
- Czech Rep.
- Denmark
- Estonia
- Finland
- France
- Germany
- Greece
- Hungary
- Ireland
- Italy
- Latvia
- Lithuania
- Luxembourg
- Poland
- Portugal
- Romania
- Slovakia
- Slovenia
- Sweden
- UK

Design Overall Results Rankings

eu cohesionmonitor
Sweden 2017 vs. Sweden 2007 EU 2017

6.5 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

- RESILIENCE
- ECONOMIC TIES
- FUNDING
- NEIGHBOURHOOD
- POLICY INTEGRATION
- SECURITY
- ATTITUDES
- ENGAGEMENT
- EXPERIENCE

Individual C.

- Structural C.

Austria  Belgium  Bulgaria  Croatia  Cyprus  Czech Rep.  Denmark  Estonia  Finland  France  Germany  Greece  Hungary  Ireland  Italy  Latvia  Lithuania  Luxembourg  Malta  Netherlands  Poland  Portugal  Romania  Slovakia  Slovenia  Spain  Sweden  UK

Sweden 2017 vs. Sweden 2007 EU 2017

ecfr.eu/eucohensionmonitor
Intro  Design  Overall Results  Rankings  Countries
Sweden 2017 vs. Sweden 2007

6.5 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

Austria Belgium Bulgaria Croatia Cyprus Czech Rep. Denmark Estonia Finland France Germany Greece Hungary Ireland

Italy Latvia Lithuania Luxembourq Malta Netherlands Poland Portugal Romania Slovakia Slovenia Spain Sweden UK

Resilience
Economic Ties
Funding
Neighbourhood
Policy Integration
Security
Engagement
Attitudes
Approval

EU 2017

Sweden 2017 vs. Sweden 2007

Design Overall Results Rankings

countries.ecfr.eu/eucohesionmonitor
Intro Design Overall Results Rankings Countries

RETHINK: EUROPE
4.9 INDIVIDUAL COHESION

UK 2017 vs. UK 2007 vs. EU 2017

Austria  Belgium  Bulgaria  Croatia  Cyprus  Czech Rep.  Denmark  Estonia  Finland  France  Germany  Greece  Hungary  Ireland  Italy  Latvia  Lithuania  Luxembourg  Malta  Netherlands  Poland  Portugal  Romania  Slovakia  Slovenia  Spain  Sweden  UK
UK 2017 vs. UK 2007 EU 2017

- **Resilience**: 2.2
- **Economic Ties**: 1.2
- **Funding**: 0.7
- **Neighbourhood**: 0.0
- **Policy Integration**: 1.0
- **Security**: 0.1
- **Engagement**: 3.4
- **Experience**: 1.1
- **Attitudes**: 1.8
- **Approval**: 1.1
- **Individual Cohesion**: 4.9
- **Structural Cohesion**: 3.7

Countries:
- Austria
- Belgium
- Bulgaria
- Croatia
- Cyprus
- Czech Rep.
- Denmark
- Estonia
- Finland
- France
- Germany
- Greece
- Hungary
- Ireland
- Italy
- Latvia
- Lithuania
- Luxembourg
- Malta
- Netherlands
- Poland
- Portugal
- Romania
- Slovakia
- Slovenia
- Spain
- Sweden
- UK

EU 2017

- Individual C.
- Structural C.

Design Overall Results Rankings Countries
4.9 INDIVIDUAL COHESION